

**Clinical Trials or
Standard Treatment?**

Understanding Options for Blood Cancers

**someday
is today™**



Welcome and Introductions

**Clinical Trials or
Standard Treatment?**

Understanding Options for Blood Cancers

**someday
is today™**



John P. Leonard, MD

Associate Dean for Clinical Research
Weill Cornell Medical College
New York, NY

March 18, 2015

Disclosures



- Consulting
 - Celgene Corporation
 - Genentech, Inc.
 - Gilead Sciences, Inc.
 - Pharmacylics, Inc.
 - Seattle Genetics, Inc.
 - Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

3

How a Drug is Developed for Blood Cancers

- Preclinical rationale – laboratory studies
- Pharmacology and manufacturing
- Animal studies – toxicity and efficacy
- **Human studies**
 - Phase I
 - Phase II
 - Phase III
 - Phase IV

4

Blood Cancer Drug Development: Unique Challenges

- Many different diseases
 - Treatment approaches vary from observation to bone marrow transplantation
- Why do we need new treatments?
 - Increase the cure rate
 - Improve survival
 - Minimize toxicity/side effects
- Relatively rare diseases
 - Requires multicenter or even international collaborations
- Many existing agents have significant activity

*Cost of developing a drug may exceed several hundred million \$
Increasing interest in “small diseases” as progress can be made*

5

Phase I Trials

- History
 - First in human
 - Goal: define maximum tolerated dose of potentially active agents
 - PRIMARY ENDPOINT: TOXICITY
 - Generally single-arm studies in patients with refractory disease
 - Often around 20 patients
- Blood cancer issues
 - Uncommon for first-in-human studies to be done in blood cancers
 - “Disease-specific” phase I more common
 - Novel biological agents require new trial designs
 - “Biologically active” dose more appropriate than maximum tolerated dose
 - Primary endpoint: remains toxicity

6

Phase II Trials

- Very common in oncology
 - May study a variety of doses and schedules
 - Goal: determine activity in disease
 - PRIMARY ENDPOINT: EFFICACY
 - Common to have many correlative scientific studies
 - Often single-arm studies in patients with either newly diagnosed or refractory disease
 - Usually between 20 and 80 patients
- Randomized phase II
 - Becoming more common
 - Necessary when “historical control” group does not exist
 - May explore different agents or combinations to determine optimal regimens for the ultimate phase III trial
 - Primary endpoint: efficacy, but two arms not directly compared

7

Phase III Trials

- Randomized trials to definitively evaluate efficacy
 - Single dose and schedule, determined by phase II
 - Large (>100 patients) with substantial statistical power
 - PRIMARY ENDPOINT: EFFICACY
 - Very few correlative scientific studies
- Placebo rarely utilized in oncology
 - Standard of care generally is control arm
 - Numerous examples in lymphoma of importance of randomized phase III trials
 - FDA may allow a single-arm trial if there is no clear standard of care (relevant particularly to rare diseases)

FDA, US Food and Drug Administration.

8

Lessons in Blood Cancers From Phase III Trials

- CHOP is the standard for aggressive NHL
 - High priority lymphoma study
 - CHOP vs MACOP-B vs m-BACOD vs ProMACE-CytaBOM
 - Equivalent outcomes except for toxicity
- ABVD is the standard for advanced stage Hodgkin lymphoma
- Abbreviated CHOP with radiation is sufficient for localized aggressive NHL
 - CHOP x 3 + XRT vs CHOP x 8
 - Superior outcomes in combined modality arms

ABVD, doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine; CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; MACOP-B, methotrexate, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone, bleomycin; m-BACOD, bleomycin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, dexamethasone, methotrexate, leucovorin; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; ProMACE-CytaBOM, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, etoposide cytarabine, bleomycin, vincristine, methotrexate and prednisone; XRT, radiotherapy.

Lessons in Oncology From Phase III Trials

- Role of high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell support in high-risk breast cancer

R-CHOP for DLBCL

Summary of 4 large randomized trials

- R-CHOP produced a statistically and clinically meaningful improvement in remission and survival compared with CHOP
- Benefit seen in all age groups and all risks of NHL. Low-risk patients may experience the most benefit
- No role for “maintenance” rituximab following chemotherapy if R-CHOP is given initially

R-CHOP, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone.

11

Recent US Phase III Trials in Lymphoma

- Hodgkin lymphoma
 - ABVD vs Stanford V
- Aggressive lymphoma
 - EPOCH-R vs CHOP-R
 - Early vs late ASCT
- Follicular lymphoma
 - RESORT trial
 - R-CHOP vs CHOP + I-131 tositumomab
 - Idiotypic vaccine (placebo)

ABVD, doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine; ASCT, autologous stem cell transplant; CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; EPOCH-R, etoposide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, rituximab; R-CHOP, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone.

12

Phase IV Trials

- “Post-marketing” trials
 - Larger patient groups to determine additional toxicity profile (required by FDA for approval)
 - New indications
 - New schedules
 - New routes of administration

FDA, US Food and Drug Administration.

13

Correlative Laboratory Projects

- Tumor and serum “banks”
 - Growing in importance
 - New regulations require extensive consenting
 - Importance in evaluating “targeted therapy”

As important for the future of blood cancer research as participating in large clinical trials

14

Who Conducts Clinical Trials?

- Sponsor (organizer)
 - National Cancer Institute
 - Cooperative groups (CALGB/Alliance, SWOG, ECOG)
 - Pharmaceutical companies
 - Groups of academic and treatment centers
 - Individual academic and treatment centers
- Investigator (local center)
 - Academic centers/medical colleges
 - Large hospitals
 - Small hospitals and clinics
 - Small clinical practices
- Virtually all “blood cancer expert” MDs are doing trials

15

Advantages to Research

- Access to novel agents
- “Cutting-edge” care
- Standardization of staging and follow-up
- Team approach to care
 - Dedicated trials nurse; data manager; other MDs
 - Attention to details
- Altruism
- Reasonable expectations
 - Full understanding of rationale and goals of trial
 - Reassurance that you can leave trial if new information becomes available
 - Results of clinical research
 - Patience....

16

Myths About Clinical Research

- All clinical research is performed at large academic medical centers
- Use of placebo and deviation from standard care
- Clinical research increases the cost of care
- All treatments on clinical trials are free

17

Cancer Clinical Trials

- Approximately 1–2% of patients overall enroll in clinical trials
- NCI cooperative group clinical trials from 1998–1999
 - 35% of subjects 60 or older
 - 17% of subjects 70 or older

NCI, National Cancer Institute.

18

Why Don't Patients Enroll in Clinical Trials?

- Possibilities
 - Lack of awareness (patient and MD)
 - Nature of treatment
 - Feeling that they are not end stage and don't need trial
 - Fear of unproven treatments
 - Excluded by comorbid illnesses
 - Complexities of study design and need for procedures
 - Concern about perception of benefit
 - Insufficient financial, logistic, social support
 - Distance
 - MD financial incentives/disincentives

19

Slow Accrual To Cancer Clinical Trials Causes Patients To Die Unnecessarily

- US national CHOP vs CHOP-R study in DLBCL
 - 600 patients, accrued nationally over 3 years
 - To complete 1 year earlier, would have needed 100 more patients/year nationally
 - Amounts to about 1–2 patients/per center
- 20% improvement in cure rates
 - During 1 year, 20,000 DLBCL pts diagnosed in US
 - Completing study 1 year earlier would have saved estimated 4000 lives

CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; CHOP-R, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, 20 prednisone; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.

Is a Clinical Trial Right for You?

- Ask your doctor
 - Do they participate?
 - If not, can they refer you to someone who does to discuss?
 - Most blood cancer expert centers are involved
- Reach out
 - LLS (www.LLS.org), other organizations
 - Internet/clinicaltrials.gov
 - Company websites
- Clinical trials should be at least considered for every situation

21

Clinical Trials or Standard Treatment?

Understanding Options for Blood Cancers

Question & Answer Session

The speaker's slides are available for download at
www.LLS.org/programs

22

Clinical Trials or Standard Treatment?

Understanding Options for Blood Cancers

The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (LLS) offers:

- Live, weekly Online Chats are moderated by an oncology social worker and provide a friendly forum to share experiences.

➤ **WEBSITE:** www.LLS.org/chat

- Co-Pay Assistance Program offers financial assistance to qualified cancer patients to help with treatment-related expenses and insurance premiums. Patients may apply online or over the phone with a Co-Pay Specialist.

➤ **WEBSITE:** www.LLS.org/copay

➤ **TOLL-FREE PHONE:** (877) LLS-COPAY

- For more information about blood cancers and other LLS programs, please contact an LLS Information Specialist.

➤ **EMAIL:** infocenter@LLS.org

➤ **TOLL-FREE PHONE:** (800) 955-4572